

Applicant : William E. Richeson
Appln. No. : 09/964,086
Page : 12

REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 46-48 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2 due to lack of antecedent basis of certain terms. The Examiner has also rejected claim 48 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grove ('262) in view of Velayutha, Pub. 2002/0179384.

§ 112 REJECTIONS

The Examiner has rejected claims 46-48 due to alleged insufficient antecedent basis in each claim. Each of claims 46-48 has been amended to alleviate any antecedent basis issues. Applicant therefore requests that the § 112 rejections be withdrawn.

§ 103 REJECTION

The Examiner has rejected claim 48 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being patentable over Grove U.S. Patent No. 4,004,262 in view of Velayutha, App. No. US2002/0179384. While the Applicant does not agree with the rejection, it has canceled claim 48 making the rejection moot and placing the application in condition for allowance.

Applicant : William E. Richeson
Appln. No. : 09/964,086
Page : 13

CONCLUSION

It is believed that the above represents a complete response to the official Office Action.
Applicant respectfully submits that the application is in condition for allowance and respectfully
requests a notice of allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM E. RICHESON

By: PRICE, HENEVELD, COOPER,
DEWITT & LITTON, LLP

Dated: February 28, 2007



Eugene J. Rath III, Reg. No. 42 094
695 Kenmoor, S.E.
P.O. Box 2567
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501
(616) 949-9610